उत्तराखण्ड सर्विसेस हाऊसिंग एसोसिएशन, देहरादून

ई-मेलः secyushadoon.08@gmail.com

सहस्त्रधारा रोड, देहरादून-01352607608

बेबसइट www.ushadoon.org.in

Past Presidents Indu Kumar Pande, NS Napalchayal, Subhas Joshi, N Ravi

Shanker , my colleagues on the EC , Vice President Anand Bardhan, General Secretary Ajay Joshi , EC members Anju Rana, Ravinath Raman and Deepankar , Ladies and Gentlemen, friends who are present here physically, and those who have joined us online . Welcome to what may be a 'stormy GBM' , but I am confident that we shall weather the storm , and as the name of our society - USHA indicates , it may herald the beginning of a new dawn .

This is the first Special General Body of USHA. This also mark a transition on how we take our decisions as the society grows. For the last seventeen years , we have taken our decisions on the basis of an informal consensus , which worked well when there were fewer houses , the space was abundant and the pressure on common resources was not very high . However , today we have reached the stage where we are likely to face 'the tragedy of the commons' if we do not evolve rules for our interaction with each other . For the past seventeen years ,we have followed the spirit of USHA, but now it is time to follow both the letter and the spirt – and that is why this AGM has been convened that we take a clear look at the proposed changes in the Articles of association.

Let me repeat: unlike decision that have been taken in the past this one is going to be contentious. Perhaps this is inevitable. As societies and communities grow, differences of opinion, as well as of differences of interests will come to the fore. Even societies like the one created by Sri Aurobindo and the Mother at Auroville face this existential crisis once the organization has grown beyond a certain level – in fact there have been expulsions court cases and much worse.

However, having said that, let me state that by and large the overwhelming majority of members have followed the norms. The two or three odd exceptions also have a point – they were never specifically told that they were doing something violative of the norms of the society. The extant rules talked of a society architect, but just after the society was approved, we discontinued with our architect. This is a historic anomaly, for which the present, and all the previous ECs have to take conjoint responsibility. But just because we have erred in the past does not give us the alibi to continue to do so in the future. We have made mistakes, we acknowledge them, and now seek your support in transcending them.

I mentioned this to set the context, it is not odd that we have different opinions. In the initial years, houses were constructed as per an informal understanding and advisory – which was, in the strict legal sense of the term not binding. Even now, we will not be able to look into every possible contingency – for example the height of the plinth, which ought to have some semblance with houses on the left and the right.

These are issues which have to be resolved among neighbours and this calls for an attitude of trust and confidence building amongst us.

With respect to the proposed amendments , there are some on which I believe we will have a consensus – like changing the name from Uttaranchal to Uttarakhand , the change of address from FRDC block to our current location, the value of the share certificate as well as the mode of election . However , on the contentious issues of building be-laws , and norms for renting and rules for disqualification , at the end of this meeting ,there will be some who will feel that their position has been vindicated, and other I'll feel aggrieved . But whatever the decision ,we have to take it in our stride , and find ways and means to ensure that we retain our position as the finest housing estate in the country run on principle of mutual cop-operation.

Before I close, let me reiterate the objectives the EC has set for itself, and also the procedure for the conduct of today's meeting.

First, everyone must give his/her opinion as to what course the society should take with respect to Its future. In deciding this, we must make a distinction between what is permissible under MDDA and what is desirable. Everything that is permissible may not be desirable, and the society has the competence and capability to make rules for its self-governance. The three member committee of Mr Sameer Sinha, Mr Goel and Mr Nautiyal have given us a set of recommendations which have been shared on the what's app. This is an example of how we plan to self regulate.

Second , that even if the decision is not palatable to all, but we are still a society of like-minded people , more like minded than in any other living space - and if I may say , we are an extended family. Just as families live through all kinds of crisis , and overcome them , we will also emerge from this crisis. Let there be no personal animus amongst us – for in the course of our discussions today, voices may be raised, and viewpoints may be contested.

Thirdly, before taking a decision today, we should remember that what we decide today will have a deep impact on our society . If we decide that we will not self-regulate ourselves , and allow ourselves to be guided by whatever the government norms provide, then we have to factor that government policy also encourages home stays for tourists . Do we decide to stop at G+1 +attic, or 2+1 +attic , with or without a sloping roof as initially envisaged.

Now, with regard to the conduct of the meeting. Each and every member who wishes to speak will get an opportunity to speak , and the ITDA has booked the time for the online conferencing till 9.30 pm . I propose that we limit our address less than five minutes each . At the end of four minutes our EC member Raman will ring the bell, which should be an indication that the member may complete his/her point . At the end of the meeting , we will ask each person to record his/her vote in favour , or against the

building bye laws/any amendment that is proposed Today our discussion will be limited to the proposed changes , which have already been circulated . But we can meet as often as we wish to for even when there are contentious issues , there is nothing that we cannot resolve if we have trust, good will and regard for each other .

President

USHA